UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 99-3176
Steven Wayne Kurkowski,	* * *
Appellant, v.	 * Appeal from the United States * District Court for the * District of Minnesota.
United States of America,	* [UNPUBLISHED] *
Appellee.	*

Submitted: June 26, 2000

Filed: July 3, 2000

Before McMILLIAN, FAGG, and HANSEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In the 28 U.S.C. § 2255 proceedings below, appellant and defense counsel filed conflicting sworn statements on whether appellant had instructed counsel to file a notice of appeal in his criminal case. The district court dismissed the § 2255 motion without a hearing because it did not believe appellant could raise any meritorious issues on appeal. An evidentiary hearing is required if the motion, files, and records are inconclusive regarding whether a movant has instructed counsel to file an appeal.

<u>See Holloway v. United States</u>, 960 F.2d 1348, 1357 (8th Cir. 1992). We do not require a showing of prejudice or the likelihood of success on appeal when the issue is whether counsel deprived the movant of his right to a direct appeal. <u>See Hollis v. United States</u>, 687 F.2d 257, 259 (8th Cir. 1982). Accordingly, we reverse the district court's decision and remand for an evidentiary hearing on whether appellant instructed counsel to file an appeal.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.